G. R. S. 59] (1 B—1o 000—20 12 1o

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1893-4
191.
(Madras)

File No. 9

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Sanctioning an annual additional Cash grant of Rs 120/ to the Sri Agastheswaraswami Temple at Ramanathpur in lieu of the resumed of Kakkehalli originally granted as Inam to the

PREVIOUS REFERENCES

LATER REFERENCES

4409

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

Muzrai 95 1893-4

SUBJECT:— Restoration of the Kakkahalli village to the Agastheswaraswami Temple at Rammanathapura.

LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. 425 dated 14th March 1902 from the Dy Commissioner Hassan District.

The village of Kayam-gutta Kakkahalli in the Ramanathapura Hoskote Hobli of the Manjarabad Taluk was originally endowed to the Agastheswaraswami Temple at Ramanathapura in the Arkalgud Taluk— The grant has been held rent free to Government from 1[illegible]

1883-8-4 V commuting to Rs
~~1882-83~~, 257-9-8
~~206-1-4~~ having fallen into
arrears, the Village was
directed* to be resumed by
Government in 1885 and
the arrears ordered to be
written off the accounts. ~~But~~
~~[illegible]~~
In the place of the resumed village
~~of the Sirur Inamdar~~ an annual cash allowance
of Rs 13 was sanctioned*
to the Institution, Hence missing
the original cash Credit
from Rs 14 2 to Rs 155 per
annum -

2. In July 1898 the Dy
Commissioner of the Hassan
District (Mr Downing Rees)
stated in his Letter no
84 dated 30th July 1898 that,
as directed in the Government
order of July 1885, a detailed
Survey

* Govt Endo no C 781-3
dated 11th July 1885.
(Ref. mark A)
and
Endo no 2002-3/97 dated
22nd Aug. 1885
(Ref mark B)

* Govt Endo no 167-5/5
dated 7th April 1886
(Ref: mark C)

⊛ Slip D

Survey of the Village was made by the Survey Department & the Settlement was introduced in the year 1887-88; that on the Survey, the cultivable lands measured 88 Acres & 12 Guntas with an assessment of Rs 270-12-0, besides the uncultivable waste lands to the extent of 155 acres and 18 Guntas; that a Sum of Rs 13 was being paid to the temple yearly since the resumption of the Village by Government; that since 1884-85, the Govt had not only realized the arrears from the Village but had collected no less than ~~that~~ Rs. 1600 in excess of Govt demands; ~~and also the collection exceeded the Govt demands by 1600 Rs~~; and he the inspected the temple and found

4/.

formed that nothing short of special repairs, costing about 3000 Rs could prevent its gradual crumbling away. Under these circumstances he recommended that - considering the importance of the temple, consecrated by the great Rishi Agastya, the Government be pleased to restore the Kakkakulli village to the temple, together with the balance of the collections, after deducting all Government demands -

3. With reference to the above communications, the late Amildar in Tuticorin informed the Dy Commr* Head, that the Village Head have represented to Government and in its stead a cash grant of Rs. 1000 has been sanctioned by the

* In his Docket No 222 dated 20th August 1900 (Ref: Mark E.)

the temple so far back as 1885, the Dy Comrs recommendation could not be entertained at this distance of time. This order appears to have been passed by the late Mysore Secretary himself without sanction from higher authority.

4. The Dy Commissioner in his present communication cited above, states that the village was resumed by Government because one Subbaraya, who was the Garbathagar of the temple failed to keep up the Kayamgutta arrears and the nandy money given to the temple is only Rs 13 per annum, while the income to Government is Rs 280; that the Service Inam land enjoyed by the Archaka in the same village is assessed at Rs 13; that the temple

is

is a very important one;
and that under similar
circumstances Govt
have pleased to order the
restoration of the grant
known to Settungi Ramaswamy
Temple in the Melukalmuru
Taluk. He therefore recommends
again the restoration of the
Kakkalalli Village to the
Temple in question, subject
to the payment of the original
grant and the usual cesses.
5. Statement showing the
financial condition of the
Village since its resumption
by Government in 1885, which
was received from the Assistant
with the Dy Comm's letter
under reply, is hereto attached;
and a copy of the printed
order confirming certain
lands

Revenue no 7699-701 / R 2678
dated 27th March 1901
(Ref: marks G.)

marks as Govt Inam to temple of Jettungi Rameswara - devasam (granted by the Dy Commr as a precedent) is also put up -

Submitted
Ks
30/12/02

Deputy Secretary's remarks.

6. It seems rather hard that on the ground of Government dues not having been paid, the whole village which had been endowed to the temple, should have been altogether resumed to Govt. No doubt an additional cash Tasdik of Rs. 13 per annum was granted to the Institution by way of exchange for the resumed village, but it is obvious that this additional money grant bears no fair proportion to the income now derived by Government from the village after

the introduction of the Survey Settlement. If, instead of being totally resumed, the village had been taken temporarily under Government management, the Government dues would have been paid off in a few years and the village would have remained as the permanent property of the temple under improved conditions and with a better income. The non-payment of Government dues was the fault of the former Parpategar who was managing the village, and it is very respectfully submitted that it is hard that the temple should, on that account have been permanently deprived of the village.

7. The temple now in question is an ancient one, held in great sanctity, and it seems desirable to foster it and improve its condition.

8. In their Order No. 7699 - 701 / R. 2678 dated the 27th March 1901, by which a large extent of land comprising 1747 acres, was, under similar circumstances, restored to the temple of Jettangi

Rameswaradevaru at Ramasagaram in the Molkalmuru Taluk, Government were pleased to remark that "the policy of the Govt. has been clearly to treat Devadaya ~~Inams~~ inams liberally".

9. Under these circumstances, it is respectfully recommended that the village of Kakkehalli be now restored to the temple subject to the payment of the increased Jodi of Rs. 160-7-0 per annum as fixed by the Inam department in 1879 - 80, and that the accumulated profit derived by Government from the year of resumption, (1885-86), be kindly made over to the temple, which is reported to be urgently in need of repair. The management of the lands, if restored, may be vested in Government Officers for the benefit of the Institution, and not left with middle-men as was the case before.

10. If, in view of the fact that the resumption took place about 18

years ago, it be considered undesirable to disturb existing arrangements, I beg to recommend that an annual cash grant equivalent to the net income now realized by Government may be sanctioned for the temple. From the statement of account received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter (slip F.) it is observed during the four years from 1897-98, the total receipts from the village have been a little over Rs. 280 per annum, and are showing signs of gradual increase. Taking the income therefore at Rs. 280, and deducting therefrom the increased Jodi (Rs. 160-7-0) as fixed by the Inam Department in 1879-80, the balance of Rs. 120 (in round figures) may, it is submitted, be assigned as a permanent cash grant to the temple in exchange for the village (instead of Rs. 13 per annum sanctioned in 1886), the requisite changes being made in the Inam registers.

//. The payment of the accumulated

surplus derived by Government up to date is also solicited, as without it the requisite repairs to the temple cannot be effected. This surplus amounted to Rs. 2061-6-6 up to the end of 1900-1901, and that for the next two years may be ascertained and added to that sum.

12. The new arrangements, if approved, may be brought into force from 1st July 1903.

Subd to the Dewan
22/5/03

Rd 23 5 03
10428

With the Hypnotist -

The average annual saving of Govt comes to about 129 Rs. — This is after deducting the Endowment Fund and the additional grant of about 13 Rs a year by which even the Tandeck was increased - The temple is some note and the default was caused by 2 or 3 cases - pressing Parbattyar - A cash grant is always to be preferred to such institutions to avoid many which are difficult to manage There are several instances in which of such temple Funds having been reduced and it will be a gracious act of His Highness in the 1st year of his reign if he will direct the surplus saving to be given as Cash Tandeck to the Temple - This amounts roughly to 129 Rs and I would recommend an annual grant of two hundred seventy (270) rupees.

28.V.03

Re of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

654

Subject.

Restoration of Kakkehully village, to the Agastheswara-swami temple, Rammathapur, or grant of an increased trusta therefor —

Order.

I agree But what about the repairs to the temple which are said to be urgently required ?

~~K.A.H~~

4/6/03

7/6/03 Order sent Submit another note about repairs with proper estimates

Draft 7/6/03

5/VI/03

1883. 439

From The Spl Commissioner
" Mysore Sub Div

To Dewan

The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~

of Mysore Rev; *Department.*

Dated 18th September

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 to 4 file

General No. 824 | 1883—84
No. 273

Submits for orders a file of correspondence relating to certain arrears due for the Hayavadana Gutta village of Kakkehalli in the Ramjara-bad Taluk together with a remission Statement for Rs. 206-1-4.

22.9.83

Mysore Residency

Dated Bangalore 18th September 1883

To,

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore,

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for orders the accompanying file of correspondence relating to certain arrears due for the Kayemgutta village of Hakkeyhally in the Nanjanabad Taluk, and the annexed Statement for the remission of those arrears, amounting, up to date, to Rs. 206-1-4, decided upon by the Deputy Commissioner of the Code District.-

2. I beg briefly to advert to a few points in this matter -

3. It will be seen that these arrears proposed to be remitted form the excess imposed by the Inam Deputy

that the amount should be allowed to remain unpaid and now be proposed to be remitted is virtually to set the Inam Settlement aside without an appeal. -

4. If the arrears of the past be not remitted the question arises who should pay them. The plea has been adduced and recognized that the Impersonal Deity being the Rayanguttadar, there is no personal property of the Rayanguttadar to attach. In another view it occurs to one's mind that the Temple pandattigar who has been enjoying or at least managing the lands must be held personally responsible. It stands to reason that he should rather have sought for the Settlement giving set a side or thrown up the property of the ryots as the Temple justified it. -

5. The Rayanguttu lands being in the name of the Deity, and

under nor

agement, it seems that the Mooppoi officer and not the Parpathigar is the person to consult about future settlements. -

6. As the principle involved in this case covers several cases of the like kind it seems necessary to lay down distinctly that if the Inam Settlement may not be revised in this particular case, then the Manager of the Temple who also Enjoyed the Lands shall be responsible for past arrears, and that in any case the Nayam-gutha Lands should be taken up under the direct Management of the Mooppoi officer classified and assessed by the Survey Department on behalf of Government, the assessment being taken by the Mooppoi officer as a

Standard for leases and that no vested interests of a Middle man like the Parpathigar should be allowed to grow. –

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most obt Servt
" "

J. Maundrell
Special Commissioner
" Darjeeling Subdn "
Offg

To The Supte of Inam Settlem-t.

Dated 20 Oct
Despatched 3
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3662
No. 5-3 1897

Has the honor to request that
the Inam Register of Kakkatchy
Kayemputta village in the
Munjerabad Taluk reported
by Agustesweria Tenple in the
Arkalgud Taluk may be
forwarded to this office for
information

(3)

(Serial No. 61.)

Bangalore No. 39 26th Octr 1883.

From

The Inám Superintendent in Mysore.

To The Secretary to the Dewan
~~Inam Commissioner in Mysore~~
of Mysore

Despatched 26th Octr. 1883.

Received 188

Enclosures One.

~~REFERENCE.~~

~~*Your No.*~~ ~~*dated*~~ ~~188~~

His Docket No. 3862/53 of the 20th Instant, requesting the transmission of the Inám Register of Kaymuguttu Kukkahally village in the Channarayapatna Talúk for reference.

As requested in the above, has the honor to forward herewith the above Register, to be obligingly returned when no longer required

Supdt of Inam Settlt.

20.10.83

(General Ser'a No. 2)

1883.

459 THE 3-NOV.83 DEWAN OF MYSORE.

(Survey) 284-11--11

From The Asst. Commissioner Hassan Sub Division.

To Dewan

The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~ of Mysore Rev. Department.

has been submitted to the Secy. file 5/

Dated 31st October
Despatched / " November
Received

Enclosures None

General No. 1048
No. 370 } 1883—84.

This office letter No. 824 dated 18th Ultimo Submitting for orders a file of correspondence relating to arrears (Inam Excep) due for the Hagenguilla village, Rakkahalli Manjanahad Taluk, together with a Statement for the Remission of arrears amounting to Rs. 206-7-4 +

Has the honor to request the favor of



Statement Showing the amount recommended to be remitted in the Manjerabad Sabah.

Village	Name of the ryot	Item of revenue	Arrears recommended to be remitted for 1879-80	1880-81	1881-82	1882-83	Total.	Remarks
Akkehalli the Mutlage	Subbaroya	Land Revenue	51 s 4	51 8 4	51 8 4	51 8 4	206 1 4	

Hassan Sub Division Office
Hassan 8th September 1883

P. Macandrew
Assistant Commissioner
Hassan Sub Division

1884. 4822
24 OCT 84
12

From
The Offg. Supt. of Inam
Settlt.
To, The Secretary to the
Dewan
of Mysore

Dated 21st
Recd 24 October.

Enclosure No
No. 39 of 1884-86

Has the honor to
request that the Secretary
will be so good as to
direct the return of the
Inam Register of Rayem
Gutta Kakkahally Village
in the Manjarabad Talúk,
sent herewith this Office docket
No. 39 of 26 October 1883.

Offg. Supt. of Inams

DS

Norton

From

To
Inam Supdt
Survey Supdt
Sub Divl Offr Hassan

Dated 11.7.85

Despatched 17.

Received

Enclosures No. Vrittpass

General No.

Camp No. 781-3 CR

Re Granting Kaveri Gatta
Village of Kakkehalli
Mogilerabad Taluq for
Arrears due on it

Hizoor Memorandum No.

From Letter No 824 d/1 /83
273
from the Asst Comt Harpanahalli
submitting for orders a file of
Correspondence relating to the
Tot Revenue
Tot Arrears of ~~Jodi~~ due on account
of the Karyenguttu village of Kakke
-hally in the Manjarabad Taluk,
Granted for the maintenance of the
Agasteswara Temple at Rama-
-nathpur -

Dated ~~Sirsi~~ Ramanapoto No 39 d.
Dec 26th Oct 1883 forwarding the
Received Register of the village above
Referred to

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

Also Docket No. 39 d/21
October 1884 from the
same Officer

C. No. 181-3 d Inspr
11-7-85

Comp. 13-
Cash [illegible]

Order thereon

The Inam village was granted to the
temple and the Perpetuegar is only
the manager with permission
accorded him by Govt to admin-
ister the village funds for the benefit of
the temple. He has no interest in
the village beyond his allowance when
the Dibi of the Govt fails into
arrears the Perpetuegar is
Clearly responsible & Govt
must look to him solely & not
to the inhabitants of the village
that the Govt money in his hands
collected from the village has
[illegible] Govt
[illegible]

152
GOVT OF MYSORE

From

The Sub Division Officer
Kapan

To

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 8th August
Despatched
Received

Enclosures None —

General No. 873 } 1885-86.
No. 136

Reports that the Kayem-
gutta village of Kalkeshally
in the Manjanabad Taluk
having been taken over
for the arrears of Revenue
in Fasaua and written
off the sum of Rs 257-9-8
due for previous years
as per Dewans Proceedings
No 87-5

Dated Kaspan 8th August 18

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to Pros. No. 781-3 dated 11th Ulbino regarding the Kayamgutha village of Thakke-halli in the Manjarabad Taluk, I beg to report that the village having been taken over for arrear of Revenue in Sarana the Amildar has been directed in the Spirit of the orders just quoted to remit & write off the Sum of Rs. 257-9-8 being the amount for 5 Years at Rs. 51-8-4, the 5th Year Svabhanoo being now included although not included in my letter No. 824 dated 2/3 18th September 1883.-

the Agasteswaraswamy Temple
at Ramanathpur to which it
appertains being within the
jurisdiction of the Mysore
District Deputy Commissioner
Copy of the Dewan's Proceedings
has been sent to that office. -

The Amildar reports that
a Sum of Rs. 63-3-8 is due on
the village to End of Svabhanoo
besides the aforesaid Rs. 257-9-8.
This shall be recovered from the
Parpathigar Sub Row unless he
shows Cause for non payment
in which case it will have to
be recovered from current
revenue -

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obt Servt

Sub Division Officer
Hosoor

The Secretary to the D

To The Auditor Comptroller

Dated 27 August 05

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3002-5

No. 97.

Writing off the account £28 3 2 1/2 being amount of Revenue due by the Company's Bill of Bank Keg bulli taken under by Law

Chief Secretary of Mairs Letters

To, The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Dated 19th March

Dept. No. 11

Enclosures No. (2, 2 Copies)

No. 11 63 of 1885-86

The Dewan's Proceedings Camp No. 781-38
11th July 1885

Referring to the above,
has the honor to forward
herewith copies of the
correspondence ending
with Mysore Dy. Comm'rs
Docket No. 1404 of the
24th Ultimo, together
with its enclosure, and
to request that the Chief
Secretary will be so
good as to obtain the
Sanction of Government
for the payment of an
additional fixed
allowance

allowance of
temple in question, as
Municipal Officer.

31

D.S. Superintendent Indian Affairs

of Inam
Settlements.
Sub-Division
Officer
Hassan.
No. 45 of 28th July 1885.

Adverting to para 2
of the Devasthanam Proceedings Camp No. 781-3
of the 11th Instant, has
the honor to request to be informed as
what amount will be necessarily required
for the support of the Pagoda of Nyastes-
=waraswamy at Ramanadhapur to
enable the undersigned to register the
the case de novo, as a cash payment as
directed in the said Proceedings.

From The Dy Commr
Mysore Dt
To, The Supt of Inam
Settlements
No. 1404 of 24th Feby 1886.

His No. 45 of 28th July
1885 to the address of
the Sub-Division Officer,
Hassan, received with the letter No. 460
dated 6th August 1885.

With reference to the above, has the
honor to forward copy of the Mukrai Officer's
letter No. 208, dated 26th January 1886;
together with the Khadé bund account
therein alluded to, and to request that he
will be good enough to obtain, and

favor

favor the undersigned with the Government sanction for the payment of an additional fixed cash allowance of Rs (13) thirteen per annum to the Agasteswaraswamy's temple at Rama = natha poor as proposed by the Muzrai Officer, in lieu of its Kayem gutta Village, Kekkanahalli, resumed by Government.

From The Muzrai Officer
Mysore District.
To, The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District.
No 2088 26 January 1886.

With reference to your Office No 595 of 19th August 1885, I have the honor to state that the temple of Agasteswara, at Ramanath pur of the late Arkalgud Taluk, enjoys a money grant of Rs: 142 per annum, and that it is supported by this grant supple = = mented by the produce of certain Villages and lands as shown in the Khadé bund accounts embodied with the Vernacular correspondence herewith forwarded.

2. The Kayem gutta Village of Kak. = Kahalli in the Manjarabad Taluk used to yield an annual revenue of Rs 120 odd from which had to be

mak

a balance of Rs: 14-8-10 for the benefit of the temple. At the Inám settlement, the money payable to Government was raised by Rs: 51-8-4. The temple has since been getting nothing from this Village.

3. As the Village has been resumed by Government, I am of opinion that the money Grant already sanctioned may be increased from Rs: 142 to Rs: 155.

4. The English correspondence received in original is herewith re-submitted.

"Copies -

Supt. of Inám Setts.

D.S

d: —

Letter No: 63 of 19th
ultimo from the Supt.
of Inam Settlements
requesting sanction
for the payment
of an additional
fixed allowance
of Rs: 13 per annum
to the temple of
Agastéswara at
Ramanathpúr, Arkul-
=púdo taluk, thereby
increasing the money
grant of the temple
from Rs: 142 to Rs: 155,
as the Koyengotta Kaikhalli
which belonged to it, has
been resumed by Govt

No 167-9
5.

Order 7/4/86
The additional
cash allowance
allowance of Rs 13 —
recommended, is
sanctioned.

To The Supt: of Inam Sett.
" Dy: Comrs:, Anpara
" Controller

Ch: Secretary

To Supt of Treasury
Dy Coinage Dept
" Comptroller

Dated 7 April

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 167-90

No. 5

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

To

Muzarai
The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~
Government of Mysore.
Bangalore.

Dated 22-7-93

Despatched 23-7-1893.

Received 24-7-93

Enclosures No. 2 note & bill

General No. 68
No. Secy.

Has the honor to submit herewith for sanction a bill for Rs 26 being the amount of cash allowance due to the Agastéswaraswamy Temple at Ramanathapur, in the Arkalgud Taluk, for the years Tarana and Parthiva 1884-85 and 1885-86 payable from the Revenues of Kayengudda Kakkehalli village, Manjarabad Taluk which was resumed

to Government as per Proceedings
No. 167-9/5 Dated 7th April 1886.

2 — The Vernacular papers enclosed with the Tinnevelly Assistant Commissioner's Yadi No. 394/1116 Dated 26th Feby last are also enclosed for reference and return. —

M Rámacáya

Deputy Commissioner
Cuddapah District

MUZRAI.

189 3.

From

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

To The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Dated 9th
Despatched 10th } August 1893
Received

General No. 152
Muz. F. No. 9-93

Enclosure No. 1 file & P.

His No 68 dated the 22nd ultimo submitting for sanction a bill of Rs 26/- being the amount of cash allowance due to the Agasthesvaraswamy Temple at Ramanathapur in the Arkalgud Taluk for the years Jarana and Parthiva (1884-85—1885-86)

With

With reference to the above I have the honour to state that as the grant of the additional annual allowance of Rs 13/4, to the temple was sanctioned only on the 7th April 1886 in the Govt Proc No 167-9/5 of that date any claim for arrears for the period anterior to the date of the order cannot be allowed and hence the bill is returned unsanctioned together with the Ver: papers.

By order,

Muzrai Secretary.

Fair draft



10

The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore,
Miscellaneous Dept
Bangalore

Dated 30 — July 1898

Despatched

Received

General No. 84
No.

Enclosure No. Petn & Papers

Submitting report on
the petition from
Subbaraya Parpattegar
of Hosakeware Temple
Venkatapuram Taluk
appealing against
D's order
removing the petitioner
from the office of
Parpattegar of the
said Temple

13

824

Office of the Deputy
Hassan Distt

Dated Hassan. 30 July 1898.

From

D Devaraj Urs Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore in the Inspection Department

Sir

I have the honor to state that the village of Kayamgutta Kakkehally in the Kenchamana Hosapo Hobli of the Arsikere Taluk, was endowed to Agasthayaswara Swamig temple at Ramanathapura in the Arkulgud Taluk and the village was together with other land endowments of this temple, managed by one Puttasmea alias Subraya of Konanur.

2 In 1884 Subraya failed to pay the Kayamgutta arrears of 1887-88 and the village was in Consequence resumed by Government in their Proceedings No

3 In their order of resumption, Government directed the substitution of a competent Parpatyagar more alive to the interests of the temple and were also graciously pleased to direct the payment of a fair amount of Tasdik to the temple out of the revenues of the resumed village.

4 On receipt of the Government order above referred to, the Assistant-Commissioner in charge of the late Hassan Sub Division, while ordering the amildar of the Manjarabad Taluk to resume the village, sent a copy of the Proceedings to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District in view to the appointment of a fresh Parpatyagar, in as much as Ramnath pur was then part of the Mysore District and not the Hassan Sub Division.

5 The matter, however, appears to have been

been overlooked in the Mysore District office and I only recently removed the Purohit, who I found was appropriating the temple revenues and mismanaging its affairs.

6 In accordance with the orders contained in the Government Proceedings referred to above, a detailed Survey of the village was made by the Survey Department and the Settlement was introduced in the year 1887-88. As per Survey, the cultivable lands measure 88 acres and 12 guntas with an assessment of Rs 270-12-0, besides the inculturable waste lands to the extent of 155 acres and 8 guntas, out of which revenue of this village, a sum of Rs thirteen (13) is being paid to the temple yearly since the year of the Grant by Government. From a perusal of the enclosed statement showing receipts [illegible] it is seen that the [illegible] of the village [illegible] the assessment [illegible] in the collection in each [illegible]

- demands by 1600 Rupees.

Ramnath pur is peculiarly sacred as the place which marks the first introduction of Aryan civilization into the savage jungles of South India by the great pioneer Rishi in his beneficent progress from the Satyadeis along the Sanctified banks of the Cauvery right through Southern India. This temple Consecrated by the same Rishi Agastya is peculiarly reverenced and architecturely is one of the finest temples in Mysore. I inspected this temple recently and found it so neglected that nothing short of special repairs, costing about 3000 Rs, can prevent its gradual Crumbling away.

7 Under these circumstances I beg that Government will be kindly pleased to restore the Kattehally village to this temple together with balance of collections

Collections, after deducting all Government demands. The entire records connected with the case are herewith submitted and enclosures returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
1st Hassian District.

MUZRAI

(15)

189

From

To

The [illegible]

Harvest - Division.

Dated 19. 8. 1900

Despatched 20 4

Received

General No. 222

Muzrai F. No. 9-93

Enclosure No.

File

(Sembanatha Kavi no 155 d/24/8/00)

His letter No 84 dated 30th July 1898 recommending the restoration to the Sri Agastheswaraswami temple at Ramanathapuram, Arkalgud Taluk, of the village of Hakkehalli in Channarayapatna Taluk which was formerly endowed to the institution but resumed to Govt in 1885 on account of the failure by the temple managers to pay certain arrears of Govt dues.

Has the honor to state that the village

in

in question having been
resumed to Govt and
in its stead a cash grant
sanctioned to the temple
so far back as 1885
in pursuance of orders
laid down in Govt Pro
No C. 781-3 dated 11th
July 1885, the Dy comrs
recommendation for the
restoration of the village
to the temple cannot now
be entertained.

2. The enclosures are
herewith returned.

By order

Mr Secy

FROM

D. Devaraj Urs Esqre
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District

To

L. Anantasamier Avargle B.A.
Dy. Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Mysore Department

Dated 12 March 1932
Despatched 14
Received

General No. 425
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Subject.

Requesting, under the circumstances therein represented, to obtain Government sanction to the restoration of the Kakkehalli village, to the Agastheeswara Swamy Temple at Kuruvathi, Periapatna, subject to the payment of original Jodi and the usual [illegible]

in question having been
resumed to Govt and
in its stead a cash grant
sanctioned to the temple
so far back as 1885
in pursuance of orders
laid down in Govt Pro
No C. 781-3 dated 11th
July 1885, the Dy comrs
recommendation for the
restoration of the village
to the temple cannot now
be entertained.

2. The enclosures are
herewith returned.

By order

Asst Secy

FROM

D. Devaraj Urs Esqre
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

L. Ananta Saubi Rao Esqre B.A.
Dy. Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Miscellaneous Department.

Dated 12
Despatched 14 } March 1928
Received

General No. 425
No.

Enclosure No. 1 (Copy)

Subject.

Requesting under the circumstances herein represented, to obtain Government sanction to the restoration of the Kakkehalli village, to the Agastheeswara Swami Temple at Kumaranathapuri, subject to the payment of original Jodi and the usual cess.

Deputy Commissioners Office
Hassan District

Dated, Hassan, 12th March 1902
14

From

A Swaraj Urs Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

L Anantasamirao Esquire BA
Deputy Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore, Muzrai Dept

Sir,

I have the honor to submit-
that in Proceedings no 222 dated
MDG-93
19th August 1900, Government declined
to entertain at that distance of time the
recommendation to restore on jodi
tenure the Kakkehalli village in the
Manjarabad Taluk, to the Agastheswara
Swamy temple at Ramanathpura in
the Arkalgud Taluk contained in
my letter to Government no 84 dated
30th July 1898 on the ground that
the

said village was returned to Gove
ment and a ready money grant
allotted instead to as far back as 1885.

2. — Kalkkhalli Village was
returned to Government as directed
in Government Proceedings no 781-8: Camp
dated 11th July 1885, because one
Subbanaya, Pandaram of the
Agastheswara Swami Temple at
Rannathpur failed to pay up in
1884, the Khayamputta arrears from
1877-78.

3. — The ready money grant to the
temple is only Rs 131- per annum,
while the income to Government
is Rs 280. The Service Inam lands
enjoyed by the Kulvadis in the
same village is assessed at Rs 13-a

4 —. Rannathpur is peculiarly
sacred as the place, which marks
the —

the first introduction of Aryan civilization into the South India by the great Agastya Rishi. This temple is consecrated by the same Rishi.

5. Under similar circumstances the Government were pleased to order the restoration of the Jodi Inam to Jattangi Ramaswamy temple in Ramapuram village, Malakalmuru Taluk Chitaldrug District. Hoping that Government will also be pleased to order the restoration of the Kakkerhalli village to the Agastheeswara Swami Temple at Ramanathpur, subject of course to the payment of the original Jodi and the usual cesses.

6 — Manjanabad Taluk Amildar's report No 4 dated 16th ultimo together with its enclosures is herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Mysore.

Read:—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 425 dated 14th March 1902 from the Deputy Commissioner Hassan District recommending the restoration to the Sri Agastheswara Swami temple at Ramanath in the Arkalgud Taluk-pura of the resumed Kayamgutta village of Kakkehalli in the Manjarabad Taluk.

Order thereon:—

The Kayamgutta village of Kakkehalli

55

in the Kenchammanar

Hoakote Hobli of the

Manjarabad Taluk,

was originally granted as Inam

to the Sri Agastheeswara

Swami temple at Ramanathpur

the Arkalgud Taluk.

The Jodi payable to

Govt on the Kayamentta

village for the five years

from 1879-80 to 1883-84 amount

having, ~~on account of~~ through

the fault of the Temple

Parpattegar, fallen into

arrears, the entire village

was resumed by Government

and

and the arrears written

off the accounts by ~~us~~ ~~X~~

~~detailed Survey and~~

~~Hampson~~

~~Settlement was introduced~~

~~into the village and~~

~~out of the total Collections~~,

An annual Cash allowance

of Rs 13 was granted in 1886 to

in lieu of the resumed village,

the institution, thus

increasing its original

Cash Tasdik from Rs 142

to Rs 155 per annum.

The Survey Settlement was also subsequently introduced into the village.

In the year 1898, the

Deputy Commissioner reported

subsequent to

that ~~since~~ the resumption

5 57

in of the village, the Govt.

Ho had not only realized

the arrears due thereon

but had also collected

no less than Rs 1600

in excess of Govt. demands,

after paying to the temple
the additional cash allowance of Rs. 13 per annum,
~~Rs 13 annually, out of~~

~~the assessment of Rs 270 12 0~~
~~fixed during the Survey~~
~~Settlement.~~
and he recommended
~~He now recommends~~ that

as the temple ~~was~~ a very
ancient and the revenued
important one, ~~the Govt~~
many outlays might be restored to it)
~~may be pleased to restore~~

~~Revenue in the village~~

In compliance with this request having been refused in docket No 222 dated the 20th Augt 1900, the Dy Collr of Hassan has again, in his letter cited in the preamble pressed the same recommendation, pointing out the importance of the temple and reporting that it is in a dilapidated condition and is in want of funds for its repair.

~~to it.~~

2. The Govt. observe that it ~~was~~ rather hard on the temple that on the ground of Govt. dues not having been duly ~~paid~~ paid for a few years owing to beer paid, the whole the fault of the managing Sarpathegar, the whole village ~~endowed to the temple~~ should have ~~by Govt.~~ been altogether resumed. ~~No doubt the~~ My additional Cash Tasdik of Rs 13/- per annum ~~does~~ granted to the Institution by way of exchange, but it is obvious that this additional Money Grant

59

bears no fair proportion
to the income now derived
by Govt from the village
after the introduction
of the Survey and Settlement,
~~From~~ the statement
of the financial condition
of the village received
with the Dy Commissioner's
letter read above it is
observed that the average
~~the present average~~
annual saving to Govt
~~arising~~ to about Rs. 129,
This is after deducting
the enhanced Jodi and
the additional grant
of

of ~~about~~ Rs 13 a year

by which sum the Jaskik

was increased in 1886. The

temple is of some note

and the default was

caused by an irresponsible

Parpattegar. A cash

grant is always to be

preferred to such institutions

to land inams which are

difficult to manage.

3. In these circumstances

the Govt of His Highness

the Maharaja are pleased

to direct the surplus

Under these circumstances and in view of the facts that the temple is of some note and the ~~non~~ default in payment of arrears was ~~due to the fault~~ caused by an irresponsible Parpattegar, the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja are of opinion that the temple should ~~not~~ be duly re-endowed for the loss sustained by it by the resumption of the village. Considering however the ~~lapse~~ length of time that has elapsed from the date of the resumption, ~~it~~ and the difficulty felt by Wazir institutions in managing lands, the Govt ~~could~~ think that instead of actually restoring the village to the temple, grant of a cash allowance nearly equivalent to the surplus

saving being given as annually derived by Govt from
cash Tasdik to the temple. the proceeds of the
village in most cases
This amounts roughly in the present case,
to Rs 129 and (an annual and they are accordingly
cash grant of Rs 120 directed to direct that
(one hundred and twenty)
~~to~~ assigned to the temple
in addition to the grant of Rs. 13 per annum
~~of Sri Agastheeswaraswamy~~
sanctioned in 1886.
~~of Ramanathapura in the~~
~~Arkalgud Taluk in exchange~~
~~of Kakkehalli~~
~~for the village (instead of one~~
~~Rs 13 per annum sanctioned~~
~~in 1886).~~ The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements in Mysore
is requested to register the
cash

Cash grant sanctioned above in the name of the temple in addition and Rs 13 per annum to Rs 142, which it has separately been ~~previously~~ enjoying, thus raising ~~bringing up~~ the total grant to Rs ~~262~~ 275 per annum, with effect from the 1st July 1903 and to report ~~the result of~~ the action taken to Govt in the Nuzvid Departt for information.

4. The temple is reported to be in urgent need of repairs. The Dy

The additional grant now sanctioned will should be provided for in the Nuzvid Budget for 1903-4.—

Commissioner is requested
to submit at a very early
date an Estimate of
the probable cost of the
necessary repairs together
with the Treasury Officer's
certificate shewing the
exact amount of the
accumulated profit derived
by Govt from the year
of resumption (1885-86)
of the Inam village.

5 Detailed and
definite proposals
effectively
to wards Do / Revising the
Scale of Padiara and
other.

(1) 64

Other charges in the temple should be submitted ~~to~~ to Govt. for sanction.

H. H's orders

Deputy Secretary
Mysore Departt

12/6/03

To, The Dy Commr.
Hassan District
Retd enclosures.

" Comptr to the Govt.
Bellgaum.

" Superintt of Mysore
Settlements in Mysore.

Secretary to Govt., Genl
& Rev: Depts

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 425, dated 14th March 1902, from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, recommending the restoration to the Sri Agastheswaraswami temple at Ramanathpur in the Arkalgud Taluk, of the resumed Kayamgutta village of Kakkehalli in the Manjarabad Taluk.

No. 2620-3—MUZ. F. 9-93, DATED BANGALORE, 29TH JUNE 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—The Kayamgutta village of Kakkehalli in the Kenchammana Hoskote hobli of the Manjarabad Taluk was originally granted as inam to the Sri Agastheswaraswami temple at Ramanathpur in the Arkalgud Taluk. The jodi payable to Government on the Kayamgutta village for the five years from 1879-80 to 1883-84, amounting to Rs. 257-9-8, having, through the fault of the temple parpattegar, fallen into arrears, the entire village was resumed to Government and the arrears written off the accounts by orders conveyed in Government Proceedings No. C. 781-3, dated 11th July 1885. An annual cash allowance of Rs. 13 was granted in 1886 to the institution in lieu of the resumed village, thus increasing its original cash Tasdik from Rs. 142 to Rs. 155 per annum. The Survey Settlement was also subsequently introduced into the village.

2. In the year 1898, the Deputy Commissioner reported that subsequent to the resumption of the village, the Government had not only realized the arrears due thereon but had also collected no less than Rs. 1,600 in excess of Government demands, after paying to the temple the additional cash allowance of Rs. 13 per annum, and he recommended that, as the temple was a very ancient and important one, the resumed inam village might be restored to it. Compliance with this request having been refused in docket No. 222, dated the 20th August 1900, the Deputy Commissioner of Hassan has again, in his letter cited in the preamble, pressed the same recommendation, pointing out the importance of the temple and reporting that it is in a dilapidated condition and is in want of funds for its repairs.

3. The Government observe that it was rather hard on the temple that on the ground of Government dues not having been duly paid for a few years owing to the fault of the managing parpattegar, the whole village should have been altogether resumed. The additional cash Tasdik of Rs. 13 per annum granted to the institution by way of exchange, bears no fair proportion to the income now derived by Government from the village after the introduction of the Survey and Settlement, the present average annual saving to Government being about Rs. 129, after deducting the enhanced jodi and the additional grant of Rs. 13 a year, by which sum the Tasdik was increased in 1886. Under these circumstances and in view of the facts that the temple is of some note and the default in payment of arrears was caused by an irresponsible parpattegar, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are of opinion that the temple should be fairly re-imbursed for the loss sustained by it by the resumption of the village. Considering, however, the length of time that has elapsed from the date of the resumption, and the difficulty felt by Muzrai Institutions in managing lands, the Government think that instead of actually restoring the village to the temple, the grant of a cash allowance nearly equivalent to the surplus annually derived by Government from the proceeds of the village is most suitable in the present case, and they are accordingly pleased to direct that an annual cash grant of Rs. 120 (one hundred and twenty) be assigned to the temple in addition to the grant of Rs. 13 per annum sanctioned in 1886. The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore is requested to register the cash grant sanctioned above in the name of

Muzrai, dated 29th June 1903.

the temple, in addition to Rs. 142 and Rs. 13 per annum which it has been separately enjoying, thus raising the total grant to Rs. 275 per annum, with effect from the 1st July 1903, and to report the action taken to Government in the Muzrai Department for information. The additional grant now sanctioned should be provided for in the Muzrai budget for 1903-4.

4. The temple is reported to be in urgent need of repairs. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to submit at a very early date an estimate of the probable cost of the necessary repairs together with the Treasury Officer's certificate showing the exact amount of the accumulated profit derived by Government from the year of resumption (1885-86) of the inam village.

5. Detailed and definite proposals towards efficiently revising the scale of Paditara and other charges in the temple should be submitted to Government for sanction.

Dy. Secy. to Govt., Muzrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, returning enclosures.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.
The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.
The Secretary to Government, General and Revenue Departments.

End.—Cv.

1902-03

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 29th June 1903

No. 2620-3 / Muz. F. 9-93

Directing that an annual cash grant of Rs. 120 in addition to the grant of Rs. 13 a year sanctioned in 1886 be assigned to the Sri Agastheswaraswami temple at Ramanathpur, Arkalgud Taluk, in lieu of the resumed kayamgutta village of Kakkehalli in the Manjarabad Taluk, originally granted as inam to the temple.

SBP—10-7-03—200